# **United States District Court**

# EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

AMMAR AL-HABASH	§ 8	Civil Action No. 4:15-CV-00450
v.	§	Judge Mazzant
RAYTHEON COMPANY	\$\times \times \	
VERDIC	CT F	CORM
We, the Jury, find as follows:		
Discrimination Claim		
QUESTI	ION	ONE
Has Plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that his race was a motivating		
factor in Defendant's decision to not promote hi	m?	
Answer "Yes" or "No."		
Answer: No		
If you answered "Yes" to Question 1,	then	answer Question 2. Otherwise, proceed to
Question 3.		
QUESTION TWO		
Has Defendant proved by a preponderar	nce	of the evidence that it would have made the
same decision to not promote Plaintiff even if it	had	not considered his race?
Answer "Yes" or "No."		
Answer:		
Please proceed to Question 3.		

# QUESTION THREE

Has Plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that his national origin was a

motivating factor in Defendant's decision to not promote him?
Answer "Yes" or "No."
Answer: YES
If you answered "Yes" to Question 3, then answer Question 4. Otherwise, proceed to
Question 5.
QUESTION FOUR
Has Defendant proved by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have made the
same decision to not promote Plaintiff even if it had not considered his national origin?
Answer "Yes" or "No."
Answer: NO
Proceed to Question 5.
QUESTION FIVE
What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate
Plaintiff for the damages, if any, you have found Defendant caused Plaintiff in Question 1 and/or
Question 3?
Answer in dollars and cents for the following items and none other:
. 1. Past pain and suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life.
Answer: \$
2. Future pain and suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of

life.

Answer: \$ 0

3. Wages and benefits lost as a result of the denial of promotion to E6, for the period April 30, 2013 to the date of your verdict.

Answer: \$ 321,525

Please proceed to Question 6.

#### <u> Ilaim</u>

#### **QUESTION SIX**

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff would not have been given an "I" performance rating on his 2013 annual performance review but for his complaint to Defendant's human resources department in September 2013 and/or his complaint to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission in December 2013?

Answer "Yes" or "No."

Answer: 

CS

Please proceed to Question 7.

#### **QUESTION SEVEN**

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff would not have been given Performance Improvement Plan but for his complaint to Defendant's human resources epartment in September 2013 and/or his complaint to the Equal Employment Opportunity ommission in December 2013?

Answer: Yes" or "No."

Answer:

Please proceed to Question 8.

## **QUESTION EIGHT**

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff would not have been minated but for his complaint to Defendant's human resources department in September 2013 d/or his complaint to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission in December 2013?

 Answer Question 9 if you answered "Yes" to Questions 6, 7, or 8. Otherwise, proceed to instructions before Question 10.

# **QUESTION NINE**

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate Plaintiff for the damages, if any, you have found Defendant caused Plaintiff in Questions 6, 7, and/or 8?

Answer in dollars and cents for the following items and none other:
1. Past pain and suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life.
Answer: \$
2. Future pain and suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of
life.
Answer: \$ O
3. Wages and benefits lost as a result of the "I" performance rating and/or termination
from March 13, 2014 to the date of your verdict.
Answer: \$
Please proceed to the next page.

# **Mitigation of Damages**

Answer Question 10 only if you answered Question 5 or Question 9. Otherwise, proceed to instructions before Question 12.

## **QUESTION TEN**

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff failed to reduce his damages through the exercise of reasonable diligence in seeking, obtaining, and maintaining substantially equivalent employment after the date of his termination?

substantially equivalent employment after the date of his termination:
Answer "Yes" or "No."
Answer: 16
Answer Question 11 if you answered "Yes" to Question 10. Otherwise, proceed to
instructions before Question 12.
QUESTION ELEVEN
How much would Plaintiff have earned had he exercised reasonable diligence under the
circumstances to minimize his damages?
Answer in dollars and cents, if any.
Answer: \$
Please proceed to the next page.

#### **Punitive Damages**

Answer Question 12 only if you answered "Yes" to Question 1 and "No" to Question 2. Otherwise, proceed to signature line.

#### **QUESTION TWELVE**

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff should be awarded punitive damages?

Answer "Yes" or "No."

Answer: YES

Answer Question 13 if you answered "Yes" to Question 12. Otherwise, proceed to signature line.

## **QUESTION THIRTEEN**

What sum of money should be assessed against Defendant as punitive damages?

Answer in dollars and cents, if any.

Answer: \$ 500,000

Please proceed to signature line.

Date: Nov. 4, 2016